



Virtual visits versus face-to-face: Diagnostic accuracy in primary care

Clinical Question: What is the diagnostic accuracy of primary care physicians performing virtual visits compared to in-person visits for undifferentiated presentations?

Bottom Line: Based on limited, lower-level evidence, diagnostic accuracy of virtual visits was between 71-91%, measured using standardized patients or case review at 3 months. Diagnostic accuracy/agreement of virtual care seems similar to in-person visits. These studies do not address continuity of care or patient outcomes.

Evidence:

- Diagnostic cohort, 97 adults, first visit general medicine clinic, in-person followed by videoconference with different physician.¹
 - Diagnostic accuracy (determined by 3-month chart review) not significantly different between:
 - In-person 83%, videoconference 80%.
 - Most common presentations: respiratory (22%), digestive (19%), circulatory (10%).
 - 57% acute, 43% chronic presentations.
 - Limitations: always assessed in-person first, no long-term follow-up.
 - Audit of 599 virtual visits, 67 standardized patients, one of six presentations [ankle pain, viral or bacterial pharyngitis, recurrent urinary tract infection (UTI), rhinosinusitis and low back pain]:²
 - Variation in diagnostic accuracy depending on:
 - Presentation (71% for rhinosinusitis, 91% for UTI).
 - No difference diagnostic accuracy with video versus telephone.
 - Limitations: limited single concerns, not real patients.
 - Randomized cross-over trial, 175 adults in primary-care, randomized to compare videoconference to in-person visits or compare two in-person visits. Both visits were with different physicians.³
 - Diagnostic agreement not significantly different between:
 - Videoconference and in-person: 84%.
 - Two different physicians in-person: 80%.
 - Limitations: small numbers, both undifferentiated concerns and chronic diseases.
- Systematic reviews on virtual care report on access, satisfaction, cost, and clinical load, however evidence on diagnostic accuracy is limited.^{4,5}

Context:

- Virtual visits defined here as videoconferencing or telephone calls.
- Concerns about virtual visits include difficulty building rapport, risks to follow-up and continuity of care.^{6,7}
 - Continuity of care results in lower costs, hospitalizations, and mortality in the long-term.^{8,9}
- Diagnostic error is difficult to assess. Observational studies¹⁰ including longer follow-up estimate outpatient diagnostic errors (including missed cancers) occur at a rate of ~5%.
- Most “missed” diagnoses were common conditions in primary care: pneumonia (6.7%), heart failure (5.7%), acute renal failure (5.3%), and cancer (5.3%).¹¹

Authors:

Logan Sept, Jessica Kirkwood MD CCFP, Christina Korownyk MD CCFP

Disclosures:

Authors do not have any conflicts of interest to declare.

References:

1. Ohta M, Ohira Y, Uehara T, *et al.* *Telemed J E Health*. 2017 Feb; 23(2):119-129.
2. Schoenfeld AJ, Davies JM, Marafino BJ, *et al.* *JAMA Intern Med*. 2016; 176(5):635-42.
3. Dixon RF, Stahl JE. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2009; 15(3):115-7.
4. Flodgren G, Rachas A, Farmer AJ, *et al.* *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015; 7(9):CD002098.
5. Lake R, Georgiou A, Li J, *et al.* *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2017; 17(1):614.
6. Hammersley V, Donaghy E, Parker R, *et al.* *Br J Gen Pract*. 2019; 69(686):e595-e604.
7. Hardcastle L, Ubaka Ogbogu U. *Healthcare Management Forum*. July 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0840470420938818> Accessed August 31, 2020.
8. Bazemore A, Petterson S, Peterson LE, *et al.* *Ann Fam Med*. 2018; 16(6):492-497.
9. Pereira Gray DJ, Sidaway-Lee K, White E, *et al.* *BMJ Open*. 2018; 8(6):e021161.
10. Singh H, Meyer AN, Thomas EJ. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2014; 23(9):727-731
11. Singh H, Giardina TD, Meyer AN, *et al.* *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013; 173(6):418-425.