**Tools for Practice** is proudly sponsored by the Alberta College of Family Physicians (ACFP). ACFP is a provincial, professional voluntary organization, representing more than 4,400 family physicians, family medicine residents and medical students in Alberta. Established over sixty years ago, the ACFP strives for excellence in family practice through advocacy, continuing medical education and primary care research. <u>www.acfp.ca</u>

Reviewed: August 3, 2016 Evidence Updated: Updated Bottom Line: No change First Published: February 19, 2013



**Febuxostat: Precipitating Crystals of Evidence About Gout Prevention** 

Clinical Question: Does febuxostat (Uloric®) offer any advantages over allopurinol in preventing gout?

# Bottom Line: Febuxostat is not better than allopurinol for preventing gout and has a higher rate of gout flares. It should only be considered in patients who have experienced a serious adverse event with allopurinol.

# Evidence:

- Meta-analysis<sup>1</sup> of five Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT) conducted in Canada,<sup>1</sup> US<sup>2-4</sup> and Japan<sup>5,6</sup> including 4250 mostly-male patients with gout.
  - Three RCTs gave colchicine or naproxen (eight or 24 weeks<sup>2-4</sup>), whereas the other two trials did not provide any prophylaxis against gout flares.<sup>5,6</sup>
  - Febuxostat 40-240 mg compared to allopurinol 200-300 mg daily x8-52 weeks.
  - Gout episodes: Significant increase with febuxostat.
  - 43.6% versus 38.1% with allopurinol (Number Needed to Harm [NNH]=19).
  - Tophi number and size: No difference.<sup>2,3</sup>
  - $\circ~$  Achieved serum uric acid <360  $\mu mol/L:$  Febuxostat 66.4% versus 43.2% with allopurinol.
- Two RCTs conducted in China.<sup>7,8</sup>
  - 516<sup>7</sup> and 504<sup>8</sup> mostly-male patients, given colchicine or NSAID x8 weeks<sup>7</sup> or NSAID for trial duration,<sup>8</sup> randomized to febuxostat 40 or 80 mg, or allopurinol 300 mg daily for ~six months.
    - No difference in gout episodes between groups.
    - No difference in number of tophi.<sup>7</sup>
    - Serum uric acid <360 µmol/L more likely with febuxostat 80 mg versus both febuxostat 40 mg and allopurinol.

Studies' methodological weaknesses: Selective reporting of clinical outcomes, emphasizing surrogate outcomes, and under-dosing allopurinol.

## Context:

- Start urate-lowering therapy at low dose and titrate up every two-six weeks, and use colchicine or NSAIDs for ~six months to prevent initial flares.<sup>9-11</sup>
- Though it lowers serum uric acid, allopurinol has never been shown in RCTs to reduce gout flares.<sup>12</sup>
- Allopurinol adverse events: Mild rash, pruritus (~2%); allopurinol hypersensitivity syndrome (severe rash, fever, hepatitis and renal toxicity) [ $\leq 1/1000$ ].<sup>13,14</sup>
- Yearly costs: Febuxostat 80 mg ~\$680 (approved Canadian dose), allopurinol 300 mg ~\$100.<sup>15</sup>
- Febuxostat cardiovascular safety has been questioned<sup>16</sup> and is being studied (NCT01101035).

**Original Authors:** Michael R. Kolber BSc MD CCFP MSc, Tony Nickonchuk BScPharm CDE APA

### Updated:

Ricky Turgeon BSc(Pharm) ACPR PharmD

Reviewed: G Michael Allan MD CCFP

### **References:**

- 1. Faruque LI, Ehteshami-Afshar A, Wiebe N, *et al*. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2013; 43:367-75.
- Becker MA, Schumacher Jr HR, Wortmann RL, et al. N Engl J Med. 2005; 353:2450-61.
- Schumacher Jr HR, Becker MA, Wortmann RL, et al. Arthritis Rheum. 2008; 59:1540–8.
- 4. Becker MA, Schumacher Jr HR, Espinoza LR, et al. Arthritis Res Ther. 2010; 2:R63.
- 5. Kamatani N, Fujimori S, Hada T, et al. J Clin Rheumatol. 2011; 17:S44-S49.
- 6. Kamatani N, Fujimori S, Hada T, et al. J Clin Rheumatol. 2011; 17:S13-S18.
- 7. Huang X, Du H, Gu J, *et al*. Int J Rheum Dis. 2014; 17:679-86.
- 8. Xu S, Liu X, Ming J, et al. Int J Rheum Dis. 2015; 18:669-78.
- 9. Shmerling RH. JAMA. 2012; 308:2133-41.
- 10. Neogi T. Ann Intern Med. 2016; 165:ITC1-ITC16.
- 11. Borstad GC, Bryant LR, Abel MP. J Rheumatol. 2004; 31:2429-32.
- 12. Seth R, Kydd ASR, Buchbinder R, *et al*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014; 10:CD006077.
- 13. Chao J, Terkeltaub R. Curr Rheumatol. Rep 2009; 11:135-40.
- 14. Dalbeth N, Stamp L. Semin Dial. 2007; 20:391–5.
- 15. Nickonchuk T, Lee J, Kolber M, *et al*. Available at: <u>http://www.acfp.ca/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2014/02/ACFPPricingDoc2015-final.pdf</u> Last accessed: Dec 6, 2016.
- 16. Schumacher Jr HR, Becker MA, Lloyd E, et al. Rheumatology. 2009; 48:188–94.

**Tools for Practice** is a biweekly article summarizing medical evidence with a focus on topical issues and practice modifying information. It is coordinated by G. Michael Allan, MD, CCFP and the content is written by practising family physicians who are joined occasionally by a health professional from another medical specialty or health discipline. Each article is peer-reviewed, ensuring it maintains a high standard of quality, accuracy, and academic integrity. If you are not a member of the ACFP and would like to receive the TFP emails, please sign up for the distribution list at <a href="http://bit.ly/signupfortfp">http://bit.ly/signupfortfp</a>. Archived articles are available on the ACFP website.

This communication reflects the opinion of the authors and does not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the Alberta College of Family Physicians.