Tools for Practice is proudly sponsored by the Alberta College of Family Physicians (ACFP). ACFP is a provincial, professional voluntary organization, representing more than 4,000 family physicians, family medicine residents and medical students in Alberta. Established over fifty years ago, the ACFP strives for excellence in family practice through advocacy, continuing medical education and primary care research. <u>www.acfp.ca</u>

Reviewed: May 25, 2015 Evidence Updated: Systematic review added Bottom Line: unchanged First Published: October 17, 2011



Overactive bladder, urge incontinence and anticholinergic drugs

Clinical Question: In patients with overactive bladder (OAB), or urge incontinence, how well do medications work and are any of them better?

Bottom-line: Both anticholinergic drugs and placebo improve overactive bladder, although medications slightly more (about ½ a trip less to the bathroom/day). The drugs oxybutynin, tolterodine, solifenacin, and darifenacin are very similar in efficacy while adverse events (dry mouth and likely constipation) vary.

Evidence:

- Overactive bladder (OAB) responds well to placebo¹ but slightly more to anticholinergics.
 - Systematic review,² 61 trials, 11,956 patients, comparing anticholinergic drugs like oxybutynin (Ditropan[®]) and tolterodine (Detrol[®]) to placebo, statistically significant difference:
 - Patient reported cure or improve: 55.6% anticholinergic versus 41% placebo, Number Needed to Treat (NNT)=7.
 - Anti-cholinergic reduced leaks 0.58/day better than placebo.
 - Anti-cholinergic reduced micturitions 0.64/day better than placebo.
 - Dry mouth was more common with anticholinergic (30.9% versus 9.8%).
 - Withdrawal due to adverse events not different.
 - Recent systematic review found similar.³
 - Reviews comparing anticholinergic and other drugs:
 - Comparing anticholinergics (i.e. oxybutynin versus tolterodine):⁴
 - Oxybutynin: more dry mouth (Number Needed to Harm (NNH)=6) and withdrawal (NNH=20).
 - No difference in incontinence outcome.
 - Extended versus immediate release formulations offer no advantage except perhaps less dry mouth.⁴

- Reviews by the Canadian Expert Drug Advisory Committee found:
 - Darifenacin (Enablex[®]): No consistent difference to oxybutynin or tolterodine.⁵
 - Solifenacin (Vesicare[®]): Less dry mouth than oxybutynin and some inconsistent results compared to tolterodine, showing possible worsening constipation but small improvements in incontinence symptoms.⁶

Context:

- Guidelines recommend confirming if a urinary tract infection (and treating as necessary), caffeine reduction and weight reduction, supervised bladder training, and anti-cholinergic bladder medications as needed.⁷
- All anticholinergic treatments increase the risk of constipation:
 - o Comparisons are limited but tolterodine appears to have the lowest risk.⁸

Original Authors:

G Michael Allan MD CCFP, Christina Korownyk MD CCFP

Updated:

Reviewed:

Adrienne J Lindblad BSP ACPR PharmD

G Michael Allan MD CCFP

References:

- 1. Lee S, Malhotra B, Creanga D, *et al.* BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2009; 9:55.
- 2. Nabi G, Cody JD, Ellis G, et al. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006; (4):CD003781.
- 3. Reynolds WS, McPheeters M, Blume J, et al. Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 125(6):1423-32.
- 4. Madhuvrata P, Cody JD, Ellis G, *et al.* Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012; 1:CD005429.
- 5. Darifenacin, Notice of CEDAC Final Recommendation, April 16, 2009. <u>http://www.cadth.ca/media/cdr/complete/cdr_complete_Enablex%20Resubmission-</u> <u>1_April-17-2009.pdf</u>. Accessed 25 May 2015.
- Solifenacin, Notice of CEDAC Final Recommendation, June 17, 2009. <u>http://www.cadth.ca/media/cdr/complete/cdr_complete_Vesicare-Resubmisson_1_June-17-2009.pdf</u>. Accessed 25 May 2015.
- 7. Gormley EA, Lightner DJ, Burgio KL, et al. J Urol. 2012; 188(6 Suppl): 2455-63.
- 8. Meek PD, Evang SD, Tadrous M, et al. Dig Dis Sci. 2011; 56:7-18.

Tools for Practice is a biweekly article summarizing medical evidence with a focus on topical issues and practice modifying information. It is coordinated by G. Michael Allan, MD, CCFP and the content is written by practicing family physicians who are joined occasionally by a health professional from another medical specialty or health discipline. Each article is peer-reviewed, ensuring it maintains a high standard of quality, accuracy, and academic integrity.

The ACFP has supported the publishing and distribution of the Tools for Practice library since 2009. If you are not a member of the ACFP and would like to receive the TFP emails, please sign up for the distribution list at http://bit.ly/signupfortfp. Archived articles are available at no extra cost on the ACFP website.

You can now earn credits on Tools for Practice! In August 2014, the ACFP launched <u>GoMainpro, an online</u> accreditation tool to help facilitate MAINPRO® accreditation for the ACFP's Tools for Practice library which has been accredited for Mainpro-M1 credits by the College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC). The combination of the CFPC's Direct Entry Program and GoMainpro's tracking and reporting features provide an easy and convenient way to earn Mainpro-M1 credits.

This communication reflects the opinion of the authors and does not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the Alberta College of Family Physicians.