TOOLS FOR PRACTICE #352 | November 14, 2023



Do-It-Yourself Hearing Aids

CLINICAL QUESTION

Do self-fitted hearing aids improve hearing for adults with mild to moderate-severe hearing loss?

BOTTOM LINE

Some, but not all, direct-to-consumer self-fitted hearing aids are likely comparable to conventional hearing aids fitted via audiogram, at a much lower cost. Access and guidance/regulations on direct-to-consumer self-fitted hearing aids is limited in Canada. See suggestions below.

EVIDENCE

- Conventional hearing aids: adjusted to the patient's specific hearing characteristics using an audiogram ("audiogram-fitted"). Direct-to-consumer hearing aids: adjusted by patients themselves using smartphones/pre-programmed settings ("self-fitted").
- Self-fitted direct-to-consumer devices versus audiogram-fitted conventional hearing-aids:
 - o Four systematic reviews.¹⁻⁴ Most recent/relevant: Five randomized controlled trials (RCT) or cross-over studies (124 patients, mild-moderate hearing loss) testing direct-to-consumer devices (PS2500amp™, CS50+ Sound World Solution™, FocusEar RS2™, Tweak Focus™, Etymotic BEAN™, Olive SmartEar™):¹
 - Speech intelligibility: No difference.
 - Additional cross-over study: 23 patients, moderate-severe hearing loss.⁵ Percentage words recognized (60% baseline) changed to:

- Conventional hearing aids: 76-88%.
- Direct-to-consumer devices: CS50+ Sound World Solution™ 68%; Etymotic BEAN™ 80%.
- No statistical analysis.
- Same hearing aids: Audiogram-fitted versus self-fitted.
 - One systematic review: Five randomized, quasi-randomized, or cross-over studies (176 patients).⁶
 - Speech intelligibility: No difference.
 - Additional RCT, 64 patients, mild-moderate hearing loss (Lexie LumenTM), 6-weeks:⁷
 - Self-assessed communication difficulties scale (ranges 1-99; lower=fewer difficulties): Baseline 34-37, improved to 19 self-fitted versus 24 audiogram-fitted (not statistically different).
 - Speech intelligibility, quality of life: No difference.
- Audiogram-fitted: Direct-to-consumer devices versus conventional hearing aids.
 - Cross-over RCT, 42 patients, mild-moderate hearing loss.⁸ Percentage words recognized (76% baseline) changed to:
 - Conventional hearing aid: 88%.
 - Direct-to-consumer devices:
 - CS50+ Sound World Solution™, Soundhawk™: 87% (no difference).
 - Etymotic BEAN[™] (84%), Tweak Focus[™] (81%), MSA Pro 30X Sound Amplifier[™] (65%): All inferior to conventional hearing aids.
- Limitations: Randomization/blinding sometimes unclear; artificial setting (sound booth) often used; little quality of life information; unclear which patients would benefit from an audiogram; Canadian availability limited.

CONTEXT

- Examples, direct-to-consumer devices available in Canada:
 - o CS50+ Sound World Solution™ (~\$300).9
 - o Etymotic BEAN™ (\$300).¹⁰
- Mild-moderate hearing loss (26-60dB): managed with hearing aids. Severe: managed with cochlear implants/alternative communication techniques.¹¹
- Hearing aids ~\$3,000; most provincial plans minimal coverage (example \$500).

REFERENCES AUTHORS

- 1. Chen C, Huang C, Cheng H, *et al.* EClinicalMedicine. 2022 Apr 7: 46:101378.
- 2. Maidment DW, Barker AB, Xia J, *et al.* Int J Audiol. 2018 Oct; 57(10):721-729.
- 3. Manchaiah V, Taylor B, Dockens AL, *et al.* Clin Interv Aging. 2017 May 18; 12:859-871.
- 4. Tran NR, Manchaiah V. J Audiol Otol. 2018 Oct; 22(4):178-188.

Émélie Braschi, MD PhD, **Samantha S Moe,** PharmD

Authors do not have any conflicts of interest to declare.

- 5. Kim GY, Kim S, Jo M, *et al.* J Korean Med Sci. 2022 Mar 28; 37(12):e94.
- 6. Almufarrij I, Dillon H and Muro KJ. Int J Audiol. 2023; 62(6):500-511.
- 7. De Sousa K, Manchaiah V, Moore DR, *et al.* JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2023 Apr 13; e230376.
- 8. Reed NS, Betz J, Kendig N, *et al.* JAMA. 2017 Jul 4; 318(1):89-90
- Amazon.com. Available at: <a href="https://www.amazon.com/Sound-World-Solutions-CS50-Bluetooth/dp/B00JAWKPCW/ref=sr_1_1?crid=1X62JZ69UOWAY&keywords=sound+world+solutions+cs50%2B&qid=1698775402&sprefix=cs50+sounds+wor%2Caps%2C288&sr=8-1.
 Accessed: October 31, 2023.
- 10. ALDS Canada. Available at: https://alds.com/BEAN-PSA-by-Etymotic-c12924063. Accessed: October 31, 2023.
- 11. US Preventive Services Task Force. JAMA. 2021; 325(12):1196-1201.
- 12. Hearing Excellence. Insurance coverage and costs explained.

 Available at: https://hearingexcellence.ca/hearing-aid-pricing-in-ontario-insurance-coverage-and-costs-explained/.

 Accessed October 13, 2023.

TOOLS FOR PRACTICE PROVIDED BY



IN PARTNERSHIP WITH









Tools for Practice are peer reviewed and summarize practice-changing medical evidence for primary care. Coordinated by Dr. G. Michael Allan and Dr. Adrienne Lindblad, they are developed by the Patients, Experience, Evidence, Research (PEER) team, and supported by the College of Family Physicians of Canada, and the Alberta, Ontario, and Saskatchewan Colleges of Family Physicians. Feedback is welcome and can be sent to toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca. Archived articles can be found at www.toolsforpractice.ca

This communication reflects the opinion of the authors and does not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the College of Family Physicians of Canada.