## TOOLS FOR PRACTICE #390 | May 26, 2025



# Use the soft touch for buckle fractures?

**CLINICAL QUESTION Is rigid immobilization needed in buckle fractures?** 

#### **BOTTOM LINE**

Children with buckle fractures treated with a soft bandage, a rigid splint, or a cast all heal with minimal complications and similar functional outcomes and satisfaction at ~4-6 weeks. Pain is similar at all time points though casting results in slight reduction on the first day.

# **EVIDENCE**

- Results statistically different unless stated.
- Children with buckle fractures, comparing cast, rigid splint, or soft bandage for 3-4 weeks. 2 systematic reviews of Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs).<sup>1,2</sup> Mean age ~10, follow-up 28-42 days.
- Soft bandage with no planned follow-up versus rigid splint with planned follow-up: 1 RCT (965 children).<sup>2,3</sup>
  - o Duration of use: Median 7 days (bandage) versus 18 days (splint).
  - Pain (0-10, higher=worse, baseline ~5):
    - Day 1: 4.3 (bandage) versus 3.9 (splint). Difference not clinically important. Other time points: No difference.
    - Use of acetaminophen/ibuprofen day 1: 83% (bandage) versus 78% (splint), number needed to harm (NNH)=20. Other time points: no difference.

- o Functional recovery, days of school missed: No difference.
- o Change in treatment/Reapplication: 11% (bandage) versus 5% (splint).
- Satisfaction (1-7, lower=better):
  - Day 1: 2 (bandage) versus 1(splint).
  - Day 42: 1 (both).
- Adverse events: Very low, no analysis done.
- Rigid splint versus cast:
  - o Pain (5 RCTs, 437 children): 1
    - Day of application (0-10 higher=worse): 3 (splint) versus 0 (cast).
    - Days 7-21: No difference.<sup>1,2</sup>
  - o Change in treatment/Reapplication (4 RCTs, 444 children): ~3% both groups.<sup>1</sup>
  - o Physical function at 4 weeks (1 RCT, 65 children): No difference.<sup>1</sup>
  - o Satisfaction (different measures used): One study no difference, one favoring splint.<sup>1,2</sup>
  - o Adverse events: Few encountered, no difference.<sup>1,2</sup>
- Soft bandage versus cast (additional RCT, 150 children): <sup>4</sup>
  - o Complications, satisfaction: No difference.
- Limitations: All but one study small, blinding of outcome assessment inconsistent.

#### CONTEXT

- Greenstick fractures (cortex is fractured on one side and buckled on the other) generally require rigid immobilization.<sup>5</sup>
- NICE (UK) guidelines recommend soft bandage for buckle fractures, but no Canadian guidelines have been published.<sup>6,7</sup>
- Home management with family physician follow-up as needed results in similar outcomes to scheduled family physician follow-up.<sup>8</sup>

REFERENCES AUTHORS

- 1. Handoll HH, Elliott J, Iheozor-Ejiofor Z, *et al*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018 Dec 19;12(12):CD012470.
- 2. Pakarinen O, Saarinen AJ, Ponkilainen VT, *et al.* Sci Rep. 2024 Sep 9;14(1):21052.
- 3. Perry DC, Achten J, Knight R, *et al*. Lancet. 2022 Jul 2;400(10345):39-47.
- 4. Doski J, Shaikhan R. Chin J Traumatol. 2023 Jul;26(4):217-222.
- 5. Randsborg PH, Sivertsen EA. Acta Orthopedica. 2009 Oct;80(5):585-9.
- 6. Fractures (non-complex): Assessment and Management. NICE. Available at:
  - https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng38/resources/fractures-

Jennifer Young, MD CCFP-EM Émélie Braschi, MD PhD

Authors do not have any conflicts of interest to declare.

- noncomplex-assessment-and-management%20-pdf-1837399081669 Accessed January 27, 2025.
- 7. Baxter T, To T, Chiu M, *et al.* CMAJ Open. 2021 Jun 15;9(2):E659-E666
- 8. Colaco K, Willan A, Stimec J, *et al.* Ann Emerg Med. 2021 Feb;77(2):163-173.

# TOOLS FOR PRACTICE PROVIDED BY



### IN PARTNERSHIP WITH









**Tools for Practice** are peer reviewed and summarize practice-changing medical evidence for primary care. Coordinated by **Dr. Adrienne Lindblad**, the articles are developed by the Patients, Experience, Evidence, Research (PEER) team, and supported by the College of Family Physicians of Canada, and the Alberta, Ontario, and Saskatchewan Colleges of Family Physicians. Feedback is welcome and can be sent to <a href="mailto:toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca">toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca</a>. Archived articles can be found at www.toolsforpractice.ca

This communication reflects the opinion of the authors and does not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the College of Family Physicians of Canada.